# IN THE NITED STATES PATEN AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE

**APPLICANT: Bayer AG** 

SERIAL NO.: 08/925,372

FILED:

September 8, 1997

FOR:

NON SYSTEMIC CONTROL OF PARASITES

**UNIT:** 

1209

**EXAMINER:** 

Allen J. Robinson

## DECLARATION

- I, Dr. Olaf Hansen of Leverkusen Germany a German citizen hereby declare:
- that I am a veterinarian having studied at the Veterinarian University of Hannover (Germany),
- that in 1995 I received my approbation as veterinarian,
- that I continued my studies and received a doctor degree in December 1996, for my thesis on medical treatment of toxoplasmosis in cats,
- that in September 1996 I started working at Bayer as a post doctoral intern,
- that in September 1998 I became fully employed by Bayer as head of laboratory in Bayer's institute of parasitology,

- that my special field of work is the testing and investigation of active compounds against ectoparasites on animals and especially against fleas, lice, mites and ticks on cats and dogs,
- that the tests of the following report have been run under my surveillance and supervision:

Efficacy of different derivatives of nicotinyl-insecticides after spot on and oral application in a dosage of 10 mg/kg body weight against fleas (Ctenocephalides felis) on dogs

#### Identification

Each dog was identified by ear number. The following details were recorded: Ear number, treatment group, treatment day and medication history. All animals used in this study were female beagle dogs and were older than one year.

## Location

All dogs were housed in individual cages.

### **Pretrial Procedures**

Only healthy and clinically sound dogs were included in this study. Wild and fractious dogs were excluded.

## General husbandry

Routine feeding, watering and cleaning was performed according to current standards of the Animal Health Facilities (SOP 6079).

## Feeding and Water

The dogs received commercial feed. Drinking water was available ad libitum.

## Study Design

The study was divided in three successive trials (see table 1). All trials (A, B and C) were conducted in the same way. At each trial 41 dogs were divided in 7 groups. Six groups consisted of 6 dogs, the untreated control group consisted of 5 dogs (see table 1). The applied volume for each dog is listed in table 3.

Table 1: Overview of Treatment

	Trial	Dogs per group	COMPOUND	FORMU		DOSAGE
	A1	6	Thiamethoxam	Spot on	10 %	
1		6	Thaneuloxam	Oral	10 %	
		6	Dinotefuran	Spot on	10 %	10 mg / kg
Α	A2	6	Dinotelulan	Oral	10 %	body weight
	1	6	Nitenpyram	Spot on	10 %	
}	A3	6	Michipyram	Oral	10 %	• 0
		5	Control	1		1
	B1	6	B1	Spot on	10 %	
		5*		Oral	10 %	
		6 This		Spot on	10 %	10 mg / kg
В	B2	5*	Thiacloprid	Oral	10 %	body weight
	1 . ]	6	Acetamiprid	Spot on	10 %	
	B3	5*	Voeraunhug	Oral	3 %	
		5	Control	./		1
	C1	6	Imidacloprid	Spot on	10 %	
•		5**	imidaciopiid	Oral	10 %	
		6	Chlothianidin	Spot on	5 %	10 mg / kg
C	C2	5**	Chiotinarium	Oral	2,5 %	body weight
		6 AKD 1022 3/4	Spot on	10 %		
	C3	0		Oral	10 %	
	1 1	5	/ Control		<u> </u>	1

<sup>\* 1</sup> dog of the group vomited --> exclusion

At day —4 and day —1 all dogs of each trial were infested with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas (*Ctenocephalides felis*) (laboratory strain Monheim). On day 0 each dog was combed for 5 minutes for pretreatment flea counts. Fleas were set back on each dog. After combing all dogs without the five control dogs were treated. Two days after treatment all dogs were combed again for efficacy flea counts. On days 5, 7, 14, 21 and 28 all dogs were infested with approximately 100 unfed adult

<sup>\*\*1</sup> dog of the group wild and fractious --> exclusion

cat fleas (laboratory strate-Monheim). Each dog was combed to days after infestation (days 7, 9, 16, 23, 30) and fleas were removed (see table 2).

Table 2: Overview of Study Design

Trial day	Action
Day 4 (-)	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 1 (-)	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 0	Combing / Flea counts / Set fleas back on dog / Treatment
Day 2	Combing / Flea counts / Remove fleas
Day 5	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 7	Combing / Flea counts / Remove fleas
•	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 9	Combing / Flea counts / Remove fleas
Day 14	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 16	Combing / Flea counts / Remove fleas
Day 21	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 23	Combing / Flea counts / Remove fleas
Day 28	Infestation with approximately 100 unfed adult cat fleas
Day 30	Combing / Flea counts / Remove fleas

For the spot-on trials the compounds were used in the form of a 5 % or 10 % m/v formulation in which the active compounds (m in g) were dissolved in a mixture of 16,5 g propylene carbonate, 83,2 g benzylalcohol and 0,1 g butylhydroxytoluene (v in ml).

For the oral trials the compounds were used in the form of a 2,5 %, 3 % or 10 % solution of the active compounds (m in g) in propylene carbonate (v in ml).

## Treatment

Table 3: Weight and application

Dog No.	Compound	Formulation	Weight [kg]	Dosage	Dosage
				[mg/kg bw]	[ml] 0.94
3131858			9.4		0.67
3082971		Cnot on	6.3		0.63
3121682		Spot on 10%	11.2		1.12
3131823		10 /6	8.8	ŀ	0.88
3145051			6.0		0.60
3100693	Thiamethoxam		7.7	10	0.77
3118312 3089398	•		10.2		1.02
3101088	·		9.8		0.98
3132137		Oral 10%	12.2		1.22
3143139			9.4		0.94
3143732			7.3		0.73
3109488			10.4		1.04
3093875			6.3	10	0.63
3146111		Spot on	7.0		0.70
3120881		10%	9.4		0.94
3123146			6.6		0.66
3093522			9.4		0.94
3119122	Nitenpyram		9.0		0.90
3125840			8.5		0.85
3084647		Oral	10.1		1.01
3145310		10%	8.5		0.85
3100537			6.1		0.61
3131584			7.0		0.70
3092143			10.3	ŀ	1.03
3095878			7.3		0.73
3084116	,	Spot on	9.0		0.90
3099717		10%	10.2		1.02
3100940	Dinotefuran		5.7		0.57
3081613			10.2	10	1.02
126412		Oral 10%	9.4		0.94
137597			7.2		0.72
139492			10.3	-	1.03
105822			7,3		0.73
148637	,		8.5		0.85
098460			5.9		0.59

# Cont. Table 3: Weight and application

Dog No.	Compound	Formulation	Weight [kg]	Dosage [mg/kg bw]	Dosage [ml]
G 331			11.8		1.18
G 421			11.9		1.19
G 291		Spot on	12.5		1.25
G 319		10%	12.6		1.26
E 409			9.5		0.95
423	Acetamiprid		9.2	10	0.92
F 415	• .		9.4	•	3.13
G 427	•		11.8		3.93
G 201		Oral 3%	13.1		4.37
F 255		·	11.3	:	3.77
F 259			10.5		3.50
G 441			7.9		0.79
G 267	, .		9.0	•	0.90
E 229		Spot on	9.4		0.94
E 415	,	10%	9.0		0.90
F 361			8.6	·	0.86
G 373	Thiacloprid		9.4	10	0.94
F 297	•		10.4		1.04
G 223			11.0		1.10
F 253		Oral 10%	12.0		1.20
G 277	*		11.8		1.18
G 433			10.1	j	1.01
G 355			9.8		0.98
G 397	•		8.8		0.88
G 367		Spot on	8.0		0.80
G 353		10%	9.0	•	0.90
E 391	·		12.5		1.25
G 315	B1		11.3	10	1.13
G 403			10.7		1.07
G 409			9.7		0.97
F 309		Oral 10%	11.7		1.17
G 439	.		11.0		1.10
221			12.9		1.29
1 295			10.0		1.00
J 345		-	10.2	1	1.02
J 407		Spot on	8.9		0.89
J 375		10%	10.4		1.04
J 245	·		9.4		0.94
1 309	Imidacloprid		10.6	10	1.06
1 287			11.3	_	1.13
J 217			12.1		1.21
1 263		Oral 10%	13.5		1.35
1319			11.2		1.12
J 273	<u> </u>		12.0		1.20

Cont. Table 3: Weight and application

Dog No.	Compound	Formulation	Weight [kg]	Dosage [mg/kg bw]	Dosage [ml]
J 257			9.5		0.95
1 259	] ·		9.8		0.98
1 275		Spot on 10%	9.4		0.94
J 205			10.6		1.06
1 207			9.4		0.94
J 327	AKD 1022		8.9	40	0.89
1 273	AND TOZZ	Oral 10%	9.8	10	0.98
G 317			10.7		1.07
J 377			10.4		1.04
J 317			9.2		0.92
1 289			10.7	I	1.07
1 297			8.9		0.89
1 325			11.4		2.28
1 307			12.2		2.44
J 297		Spot on	13.8		2.76
J 305		5%	12.6	[	2.52
J 269			7.8		1.56
J 207	Clothianidin		8.8	10	1.76
J 237			13.2		5.28
1 261			8.0		3.20
1 303		Oral 2.5%	9.1		3.64
1315			9.5		3.80
G 351	*		12.0		4.80

## Route and Method of Administration

All nine nicotinyl-derivatives were applied as a spot on and as an oral formulation in a dosage of 10 mg / kg body weight.

Immediately before treatment the investigator confirmed the animal's identification. The required volume of compound was applied at one spot on the skin between the shoulder blades or as an oral formulation directly in the mouth via capsules.

## Method of Parasite Infestation

Fleas were transferred from the breeding containers to glass vials with each vial containing approximately 100 fleas. The fleas were seeded directly onto the individual dogs.

## **Assessment Methods**

Each dog's identity was verified prior to treatment, counts or infestation. A different comb was used for each group of dogs. The combs were for sale (Flohkamm Herberholz, Hauptner, article number: 68491).

## **Combing Method**

Life fleas were counted by combing the dogs in the following order:

- 1. Outside hind legs
- 2. Tail and anal areas
- 3. Lateral area up to and including the shoulder blades
- 4. Abdominal area from chest to inside hind legs
- 5. Fore legs
- 6. Dorsal strip from base of tail to shoulder blades
- 7. All neck and head areas
- 8. Spot on area was excluded from combing

Fleas showing no movement were considered as dead.

Combing time was restricted to 5 minutes per dog (Zakson et al. 1995). With the exception of day 0 fleas which had been combed out were not set back on the dog.

## **Efficacy Calculation**

For assessment of efficacy the modified Abbott's Formula was used:

Efficacy of different chloronicotinyles after spot on and oral application in a dosage of 10 mg/kg body weight against fleas (Ctenocephalides felis) on dogs

			Efficacy (%) after					
Compound	Trial	Application	2 <sup>d</sup>	<b>7</b> d	9d	16 <sup>d</sup>	23 <sup>d</sup>	30q
	Α	Spot-on	100	100	100	100	100	100
(Thiamethoxam)		Oral	100	63.8	26.9	9.7	0	0
		Spot-on	100	100	100	100	98.4	84.2
(Dinotefuran)	Α	Oral .	100	61.1	26.2	0	21.5	0
		Spot-on	95.8	99.5	97.8	93.1	88.7	74,1
(Nitenpyram)	Α	Oral	98.6	37.3	0	0	0	0
		Spot-on	100	100	100	100	99.0	99.1
(B1)	В	Oral	100	33.7	12.7	10.1	0	19.1
	В	Spot-on	46.7	95.6	98.8	100	81.4	58.8
(Thiacloprid)		Oral	72.0	31.6	0	11.4	0	19.1
	В	Spot-on	73.3	98.2	98.8	96.8	94.1	61.6
(Acetamiprid)		Oral	96.0	35.8	0	2.5	0	2.2
		Spot-on	100	100_	100	100	96.6	91.2
(IMIDACLORPID)	С	Oral	100	13.4	10.8	5.2	33.0	22.8
	_	Spot-on	100	100	100	100	100	100
(Chlothianidin)	С	Oral	100	0	0	0	0	0
		Spot-on	100	100	100	100	96.6	96.6
(AKD 1022)	С	Oral	100	0	13.9	2.1	0	0

The undersigned declarant declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Signed at Leverkusen, Germany, this 15th day of Tebria-